

Health-Related Quality of Life and its Predictive Factors among Infertile Women

Sekineh Mohammad Alizadeh Charandabi¹, Mahin Kamalifard², Mehrzad Mahzad Sedaghiani³, Ali Montazeri⁴, Elham Dehghanpour Mohammadian^{5*}

¹ PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

² MSc, Instructor, Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

³ MD, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

⁴PhD, Professor, Mental Health Research Group, Health Metrics Research Center, Iranian Institute for Health Sciences Research, Academic Center for Education Culture and Research, Tehran, Iran

⁵MSc, Postgraduate Student, Student Research Committee, Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article type: Original Article	<i>Introduction:</i> The present study aimed to determine health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and its predictive factors among infertile women. <i>Methods:</i> This cross-sectional study was conducted on infertile women referring to Majidi Infertility Center
Article History: Received: 13 Aug. 2011 Accepted: 4 May. 2012 ePublished: 25 Aug. 2012	(Tabriz, Iran). The data was collected through self-administered questionnaires including clinical and demographic characteristics and the Persian version of 36-item short form health survey (SF-36). One-sample t-test, independent t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and logistic regression were used for data analysis. Results: Overall, 1012 infertile women were studied. The quality of life scores of infertile women in all
<i>Keywords:</i> Quality of life Infertility Predictive factors Women	eight subscales were significantly lower than normative data for Iranian women. Low physical component summary was more frequent in younger [adjusted odds ratio (AOR):1.45; 95% CI: 1.07-1.96], less educated (AOR: 1.75; 95% CI: 1.27-2.41), and low income (AOR: 1.52; 95% CI: 1.06-2.16) participants. It was less frequent in indi- viduals whose infertility duration was 3-9 years (AOR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.48-0.86), had male (AOR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.43-0.78) or female and male factors infertility (AOR: 0.48 95% CI: 0.30-0.78), or had a history of 1-2 in vitro fertilization (IVF), intrauterine inse- mination (IUI), or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). Low mental component summary was associated with low income (AOR: 1.56; 95% CI: 1.11-2.18) and unex- plained cause of infertility (AOR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.32-0.56). <i>Conclusion:</i> The findings of this study indicated low quality of life among infertile women. The findings sug- gested the need for providing this group, especially those at higher risk such as low edu- cated or low income females, with necessary support.

Introduction

Infertility, as an individual and social problem, imposes enormous costs to be treated and can devastate the family. A couple is clinically considered to be infertile if pregnancy does not occur after at least one year of unprotected intercourse.¹ More than 80 million people in the world, most of whom live in developing countries, suffer from infertility. While 10-15% of couples in the reproductive age are infertile, the figures can vary between 8% and 33% in different populations.² Male, female, and mutual problems consti-

* **Corresponding Author:** Elham Dehghanpour Mohammadian (MSc), Email: e.dehganpoor88@gmail.com This article was derived from MSc thesis in the Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, No: 271. tute 25-40%, 40-50%, and 10% of the reasons for infertility. However, 10% of infertility cases do not have a well-known cause.³

According to the latest census in Iran in 2006, the mean age of first marriage for females has increased to 23 years old. Such increase has caused them to try harder to get pregnant in the last years of their reproductive age.⁴ Since birth giving is of high social, cultural, and religious importance in Iran, infertility seems to be a bigger problem. Nongovernmental organizations and cultural associations, relatives, and acquaintances often have a vital and decisive role in the lives of infertile couples.⁵ As a part of social background, demographic factors are effective on the way individuals deal with infertility problems and can thus affect quality of life among infertile couples.6

Few studies with contradictory results have investigated quality of life among infertile couples throughout the world. For instance in Tehran, infertile couples scored significantly less than the normal population in different aspects of quality of life.⁷ In Italy however, the differences were not significant in any aspects of quality of life.⁸ In a review article on 10 studies, Montazeri concluded that predictive factors of quality of life vary in different infertile populations, individuals, and races.⁹

According to the above mentioned facts, in order to develop effective interventions to improve quality of life, it is important to identify various effective factors on quality of life among infertile couples. Most previous studies have included specific groups of infertile couples. Therefore, we decided to conduct a study to determine the quality of life of infertile women who referred to an infertility center. We tried to evaluate the effects of some clinical and demographic characteristics on their quality of life.

Materials and methods

In this cross-sectional study, 1021 eligible infertile women referring to Majidi Infertility Center (Tabriz, Iran) were selected through convenience sampling. Couples were included if they had at least finished junior high school, had been diagnosed as infertile more than 6 months prior to the study, had no children from previous marriages, and were not in the last stages of treatments such as in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI).

Data was collected by a questionnaire including demographic and clinical characteristics and the Persian version of the 36-item short form health survey (SF-36) which had already been validated. The reliability of the Persian version of SF-36 was approved with a Cronbach's alpha of 72-94%.10 The SF-36 is a self-report tool that measures physical and mental health components using 35 items categorized into 8 subscales of physical functioning (10 items), role limitations due to physical problems (4 items), bodily pain (2 items), general health (5 items), vitality (4 items), social functioning (2 items), role limitations due to emotional problems (3 items), and mental health (5 items). An additional item measures health transition.¹¹

Scores were determined based on a Likert scale, i.e. 5-choice and 3-choice items were scored as 0-4 and 0-2, respectively. Negative questions were inversely scored. Raw scores for each aspect were calculated as the sum of raw scores of each item. The scores were then multiplied by 100 and divided to the maximum achievable score to obtain a score between 0 and 100, as recommended by the SF community.¹² Higher scores thus indicated better quality of life.

In order to calculate physical (PCS) and mental (MCS) component summary scores, mean, standard deviation (SD), and standard weights of the American adult population were considered as international standard values.^{11,12} Therefore, for each aspect, the standard mean value was subtracted from the obtained mean value. The result was then divided by the American SD and multiplied by the standard weights. The final values were summed up.

The results of a large population-based study in Tehran conducted by Montazeri et al. have been accepted as Iranian normative data. Therefore, in this study, we considered mean and SD values of the SF-36 subscales for 25-44 year-old male and female participants of their study, who were more close to our patients' age, as the standard values for normal men and women, respectively. Zscores of various quality of life subscales were calculated through dividing the difference between the mean values by the SD in the standard population. Significance differences of quality of life between men and women (effect size) were determined through dividing the difference between mean values of the two sexes by SD among men. Differences were considered as significant if zscores or effect sizes were less than -0.4 or above 0.4.

One-sample t-test was used to examine the significance of mean differences between the study population and the normative data. Associations between demographic and clinical variables and the PCS and MCS scores were initially estimated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or student's t-test. Binary logistic regression was then used to test the relations adjusted for potential confounding variables. To perform multivariate analysis, subjects were divided into two groups, i.e. those who scored equal to or higher than mean PCS/MCS and those who scored less than mean.

Results

Overall, 1012 infertile women enrolled in the study. The characteristics of the women are presented in Table 1. The mean age of women was 32.6 and mean infertility duration was 2.0 years.

Total score of quality of life among infertile women in all aspects was significantly lower than the standard values for Iranian females matched for age (p = 0.002 for role limitations due to emotional problems subscale and p < 0.001 for all other aspects) (Table 2).

Logistic regression analysis indicated that low PCS score was more frequent in younger (< 30 years), less educated (\leq 12 years of education), and low income (< 6,000,000 Rials, equivalent to 650 US dollars in the study period) individuals, as well as those with 1-2 IVF, intrauterine insemination (IUI), or ICSI experiences (compared with no experience). Low PCS score was less frequent in women who had an infertility duration of 3-9 years (compared to those with a duration < 3 years) and those whose infertility cause was male or both male and female factors. Low MCS score was more frequent in women who had low income and was less frequent in women whose infertility cause was unexplained (Table 3).

Table 1. The characteristics of the study participants				
Characteristics	N (%)	Characteristics	N (%)	
Age (years)		Infertility duration (years)		
< 25	250 (24.7)	< 3	430 (42.4)	
25-29	373 (36.9)	3-4	265 (26.2)	
30-34	247 (24.4)	4-9	210 (20.8)	
\geq 35	142 (14.0)	10-15	80 (7.9)	
Mean (SD)	28.4 (5.5)	≥ 15	24 (2.4)	
Education (years)		Mean (SD)	2.0 (1.0)	
6-12	339 (33.5)	Causes of Infertility		
12	362 (35.8)	Female factor	411 (40.5)	
>12	311 (30.7)	Male factor	406 (40.0)	
Average monthly household income (1000 IRR)		Both (female and male factors)	100 (9.9)	
Less than 300	388 (38.0)	Unexplained	87 (8.6)	
300-600	419 (41.0)	Type of treatment		
Higher than 600	214 (21.0)	Fertility drugs	316 (31.0)	
Place of living		IVF	148 (14.0)	
Urban	828 (81.3)	IUI	292 (28.6)	
Rural	191 (18.7)	ICSI	157 (15.3)	
		Unknown	99 (9.7)	

normative data					
Subscales of SF36	Infertile women	Normative data	Р		
Physical functioning	74.5 (20.0)	86.1 (17.1)	< 0.001		
Role limitations due to physical problems	64.3 (21.6)	68.8 (37.8)	< 0.001		
Bodily pain	62.5 (21.3)	77.5 (24.7)	< 0.001		
General health	61.7 (20.0)	65.6(19.2)	< 0.001		
Social functioning	63.6 (23.9)	75.3 (23.9)	< 0.001		
Role limitations due to emotional problems	59.1 (24.4)	61.4 (42.5)	0.002		
Vitality	52.0 (18.7)	63.2 (17.2)	< 0.001		
Mental Health	56.9 (20.1)	63.8 (18.9)	< 0.001		

 Table 2. The SF-36 scores in infertile women (n = 1021) compared to the normative data*

* Data for women from the general population aged 25-44 years in Tehran (Montazeri et al.)¹⁰ values are expressed as mean (SD)

		Dharad and an array			Mandalaam		-	-
Fable 3. '	The results obtained from	logistic regression	analysis for p	poorer physical	and mental	health-related	quality	of life

	Physical component summary		Mental component summary				
	B (SE)	OR (95% CI)	B (SE)	OR (95% CI)			
Age group (years)							
< 30	0.37 (0.15)	1.45 (1.07-1.96)*	0.19 (0.15)	1.21 (0.90-1.61)			
≥ 30		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)			
Education (years)							
≤12	0.56 (0.16)	1.75 (1.27-2.41)†	-0.28 (0.16)	0.76 (0.56-1.04)			
>12		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)			
Average monthly household	Average monthly household income (10000 IRR)						
Less than 600	0.42 (0.18)	1.52 (1.06-2.16)*	0.44 (0.17)	1.56 (1.11-2.18)†			
Higher than 600		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)			
Place of living							
Urban	-0.13 (0.17)	0.87 (0.62-1.23)	-0.18 (0.17)	0.83 (0.60-1.17)			
Rural		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)			
Infertility duration (years)							
< 3		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)			
3-9	-0.44 (0.15)	0.65 (0.48-0.86)†	0.27 (0.14)	1.31 (0.99-1.72)			
≥ 10	0.20 (0.26)	1.22 (0.73-2.04)	0.37 (0.26)	1.45 (0.88-2.38)			
Causes of infertility							
Female factor		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref.)			
Male factor	-0.55 (0.15)	0.58 (0.43-0.78)†	-0.02 (0.15)	0.98 (0.74-1.30)			
Both (male and female	-0.73 (0.24)	0.48 (0.30-0.78)†	-0.06 (0.23)	0.95 (0.60-1.48)			
factors)							
Unexplained	-0.48 (0.26)	0.62 (0.37-1.02)	-0.65 (0.26)	0.52 (0.32-0.86)*			
Frequency of IVF, IUI, ICS	SI						
None		1.0 (ref.)		1.0 (ref)			
1-2	0.35 (0.16)	1.42 (1.03-1.96)*	-0.20 (0.16)	0.82 (0.60-1.12)			
≥ 3	0.12 (0.20)	1.12 (0.76-1.66)	-0.03 (0.19)	0.97 (0.67-1.40)			

* p < 0.05; †p < 0.01

Discussion

The results of the present study indicated low quality of life scores among infertile couples compared to the standard population. Therefore, it can be concluded that probably infertility reduces quality of life. In a study in Tehran, Iran, quality of life scores of infertile couples were reported to be lower than the standard population.⁷ Low quality of life among infertile couples is probably due to their high levels of stress imposed by the high costs of treatment, fatigue due to frequent references to health centers, concerns for the efficacy of the treatment, community's pressure, fear of losing the family and the spouse's interest, and also the potential stresses and tensions caused by treatment.⁹ In addition, Iranian infertile couples receive inadequate financial and health support which might contribute in worsening the problem. As in the present study, those with low income had lower scores of physical and mental health. Studies in England¹³ and Tabriz¹⁴ also showed low social and economic levels to be associated with poor health performance. Unlike the present study, an Italian study did not find any significant differences in scores of quality of life aspects between an infertile group and the standard group. These researchers reported that the absence of differences may be a result of the financial and health support systems in Italy.⁸

In the present study, women with female or both (female and male) factor infertility gained a lower score of physical health compared to participants with male factor infertility. Likewise, Lee et al. found women with female factor infertility to experience higher levels of stress and guilt compared to their husbands. They were also less accepted by their husband's family. Female factor infertility was thus considered as a threat for social status and security of women.¹⁵

In the present study, women under 30 years gained lower scores of quality of life in both physical and mental aspects. However, the difference was only significant in physical components. This finding was in accordance with the studies of Fekess et al.6 and Rashidi et al.7 in Tehran. As Alami et al. suggested, high levels of illogical thoughts toward having a child in young women could justify their impaired psychological performance and decreased quality of life properly.16 In most societies, when a couple is first diagnosed as infertile, the problem is attributed to women. Their inability to give birth causes accusations by the husbands' families. Infertility of the woman would then provide is the husband with adequate reasons to get a divorce or to remarry.17

According to the low quality of life scores among infertile women in the present study, infertile couples, especially those at higher risk such as lower educated or low income individuals, should enjoy various kinds of support.

We also compared the results of this study with the findings of a study conducted in Tehran (as the standard Iranian population). Since confounding factors except infertility might have been involved in the observed differences, further studies with a control group are required to determine the impact of infertility on quality of life.

Ethical issues

None to be declared.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this study.

Acknowledgments

Hereby, many thanks go to the authorities and staff of Tabriz Infertility Center and also the women who participated in this research.

References

- Fritz MA, Speroff L. Clinical Gynecologic Endocrinology and Infertility. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010. 1040-1 p.
- 2. Vayena E, Rowe PJ, Griffin PD, World Health Organization. Current practices and controversies in assisted reproduction: report of a WHO meeting on "Medical, Ethical and Social Aspects of Assisted Reproduction". Geneva: World Health Organization; 2001, 272-4.
- Berek JS, Novak E. Berek and Novak's Gynecology. 14th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007,947-8 p.
- 4. Vice-Presidency for Strategic Planning and Supervision: Statistical Center of Iran. The results of population & housing census 2006, No.1: The whole country [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2008 May 20].Available from: www.sci.org.ir/portal/faces/public/sci_en/sci_en.sel ecteddata/sci_en.yearbookdata/
- Younesi SJ. Stigma and infertility in Iran coping skills. Journal of Reproduction & Infertility 2002; 3(4):73-86 [Persian].
- **6.** Fekkes M, Buitendijk SE, Verrips GH, Braat DD, Brewaeys AM, Dolfing JG, et al. Health-related quality of life in relation to gender and age in couples planning IVF treatment. Hum Reprod 2003; 18(7): 1536-43.
- 7. Rashidi B, Montazeri A, Ramezanzadeh F, Shariat M, Abedinia N, Ashrafi M. Health-related quality of life in infertile couples receiving IVF or ICSI treatment. BMC Health Serv Res 2008; 8: 186.
- 8. Ragni G, Mosconi P, Baldini MP, Somigliana E, Vegetti W, Caliari I, et al. Health-related quality of

life and need for IVF in 1000 Italian infertile couples. Hum Reprod 2005; 20(5): 1286-91.

- **9.** Montazeri A. Quality of life and infertility. In: Preedy VR, Watson RR, Editors. Handbook of Disease Burdens and Quality of Life Measures. Berlin: Springer; 2009, 1981-3p.
- Montazeri A, Goshtasebi A, Vahdaninia M, Gandek B. The Short Form Health Survey (SF-36): translation and validation study of the Iranian version. Qual Life Res 2005; 14(3): 875-82.
- **11.** Ware JE, Gandek B. Overview of the SF-36 Health Survey and the International Quality of Life Assessment (IQOLA) Project. J Clin Epidemiol 1998; 51(11): 903-12.
- 12. Russell A, Ball J, Spallek M. ALSWH Data Dictionary Supplement, Section 2 Core Survey Dataset 2.3 Health-related Quality of Life Variables, SF-36 -Standardised Component Score [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2009 May 20]. Available from: http://www.alswh.org.au/InfoData/DataDictionaryS upplement/DDSSection2SF36.pdf/

- **13.** Hemingway H, Nicholson A, Stafford M, Roberts R, Marmot M. The impact of socioeconomic status on health functioning as assessed by the SF-36 questionnaire: the Whitehall II Study. Am J Public Health 1997; 87(9): 1484-90.
- 14. Aliyeh G, Laya F. Quality of life and its correlates among a group of infertile Iranian women. Med Sci Monit 2007; 13(7): CR313-317.
- **15.** Lee TY, Sun GH, Chao SC. The effect of an infertility diagnosis on the distress, marital and sexual satisfaction between husbands and wives in Taiwan. Hum Reprod 2001; 16(8): 1762-7.
- 16. Alami M, Amanati L, Shokrabi SH, Haghani H, Ramezanzadeh F. Factors Influencing Quality of Life among Infertile Women. Iran J Nurs 2009; 21(56): 27-35 [Persian].
- 17. Khayata GM, Rizk DE, Hasan MY, Ghazal-Aswad S, Asaad MA. Factors influencing the quality of life of infertile women in United Arab Emirates. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2003; 80(2): 183-8.