

Emergency Contraception: Providers' Knowledge and Attitudes and Their Relationship with Users' Knowledge and Attitudes at Public Health Centers/Posts of Tabriz

Sakineh Mohammad-Alizadeh-Charandabi¹, Azizeh Farshbaf-Khalili², Roya Moeinpoor^{3*}

¹ PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

² MSc, Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

³ MSc, Postgraduate student, Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
<p><i>Article type:</i> Original Article</p> <hr/> <p><i>Article History:</i> Received: 7 Feb. 2012 Accepted: 2 May 2012 ePublished: 26 May 2012</p> <hr/> <p><i>Keywords:</i> Knowledge, attitude Provider User Post coital contraception</p>	<p>Introduction: Emergency contraceptives are accessible and acceptable methods for most women, which their proper use could prevent about three quarters of unwanted pregnancies. In this study, we aimed to determine the providers' knowledge, attitudes and their relation with the pills and condoms users' knowledge of and attitudes towards emergency contraception at public health centers/posts in Tabriz, Iran. Methods: In this cross-sectional study, subjects were 140 health providers working in randomly selected 19 health centers and 33 health posts and 280 married women aged 15 to 49 years who were using contraceptive pills or condoms (two clients of each selected provider). A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data from the providers, while the questionnaire for the clients was filled up by face-to-face interview. The relationships were determined by Pearson's correlation test. Results: Mean score of the providers' knowledge and attitude was 69.4 ± 11.8 and 70.1 ± 12.8, respectively (possible score range was 0-100). The providers' knowledge score was good only in 35% (score > 75.0). High majority (95.7%) had positive attitudes (score > 50.0). Overall, there were no significant relationships, neither between the provider's and users' knowledge nor between their attitudes ($p > 0.05$). Conclusion: The providers' knowledge was insufficient, and there was no significant association between the providers' and users' knowledge and attitudes. Thus, in addition to the need for promoting providers' knowledge, the other barriers should also be recognized and removed in order to promote using this method.</p>

Introduction

Despite promising advances in technology about modern methods of contraception,¹ about one third of pregnancies in the world are still unintended.² This is one of the most important health, social and economic problems all around the world.³ One of the important methods in family planning is the emergency contraceptives (ECs)⁴ which refer to some methods of birth control that are used in a certain

time after unprotected intercourse.⁵ The emergency contraception promisingly introduce availability of an effective method to reduce unintended pregnancies and abortion.⁶ The main problem in the ECs is not their failure or side effects. The problem is the little or even no knowledge and also the neutral or negative attitude of both health workers and women about ECs which prevents using them.⁷ This problem has been shown in studies conducted in Iran⁸ and other countries like U.S.⁶ and Tur-

* Corresponding Author: Roya Moeinpoor (MSc), E-mail: moeinpoor@gmail.com

This article was derived from MSc thesis in the Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, No: 265.

key.⁹ In this regard, the results of some studies indicated a relationship between using the ECs with the knowledge and awareness of health care personnel and the women.^{10, 11}

Combined pills and pills with levonorgestrel are the only ways for the emergency contraception provided at public health centers/posts in our country.¹² To prevent unwanted pregnancies, the required education about the emergency methods should be provided for all women at the risk of pregnancy and ensure them about the safety of these methods.¹³ Health care workers, especially midwives and family health graduates, have a heavy duty in preserving and promoting the public health and they are main responsible persons to provide family planning programs.

Limited studies have been conducted on knowledge and attitudes of health workers in Iran⁸⁻¹⁴ and no study in this area was found in Tabriz. Furthermore, we found no study about the association between knowledge and attitudes of providers and the users of emergency contraceptive pills (ECPs). In addition, choice of contraceptive method for people at the risk of unwanted pregnancy is among the research priorities of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. Therefore, this study was conducted with the aim to determine the knowledge and attitudes of the providers and their relationships with knowledge and attitudes of the users of condoms and the combined pills about the ECPs.

Materials and methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted at public health centers and posts of Tabriz, Iran in 2010. The study population consisted of midwives and family health graduates working in the centers/posts and all the married women of reproductive age (15 to 49 years) who were admitted to these centers for receiving family planning and/or maternal and child services. The sample size were calculated 130 persons according to the results of the previous study¹⁴ using the formula to estimate one proportion considering $P = 57\%$, $d = 0.085$ and $\alpha = 0.05$; and regarding almost 10% for proba-

bility of loss, the final sample size of 140 subjects was considered.

Among the 27 centers and 53 posts, 19 centers and 33 posts were randomly selected. In the selected centers and posts, we recruited all eligible family planning providers, and one contraceptive pill user as well as one condom user that were covered by each selected provider. The clients were selected through convenience sampling. The inclusion criteria for the providers were midwives or family health graduates with associate or bachelor degree and at least six months duration of work at their working place. For the users, the inclusion criteria were the married women aged 15 to 49 years referred to receive health care services, not having any mental and physical illness, living in Tabriz, using the combined pills or condoms as a contraceptive method for at least 6 months, receiving family planning services from the respective provider for at least 6 months.

Data collection tools were two questionnaires, the one prepared for service providers was self-administered and that of the users was filled by the corresponding author through the face to face interview. Both questionnaires were consisted of three parts, demographic and reproductive characteristics, (13 questions for the providers, 12 questions for users), questions to assess knowledge (22 questions for providers, 16 questions for recipients; 14 questions in common) and statements to evaluate attitudes (9 statements for providers, 7 for users; 4 in common). To determine knowledge score, we considered score 1 for the correct answers, zero score for the wrong, as well as "I do not know" answers. To determine the attitude score, for the each attitude statements with positive direction (No. 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9 of statements of providers) completely agree, agree, disagree and completely disagree were attributed to 3 to 0 scores, respectively. For the rest of the statements (negatives), the scores were oppositely ascribed. Then the total scores of knowledge and attitude were calculated for each person and were converted as a score ranging from zero to one hundred. The mean score of knowledge and attitudes of two clients

of each provider (one pills and one condoms user) was considered as the scores of knowledge and attitudes of the clients. The score of knowledge from zero to 50.0 was considered as poor, 50.1 to 75.0 as moderate and 75.1 to 100 as good knowledge. The attitudes score of up to 50.0 was considered as the negative attitude and more than 50.0 as a positive attitude.

To determine the content validity of the questionnaires we used the feedback of seven midwifery and gynecology faculty members and two service providers. In order to determine the test-retest reliability, the questionnaires was completed on two occasions with 10 days interval for 15 users and 10 providers and their correlation were calculated using the Pearson correlation test. Correlation coefficients of 0.94 and 0.95 for knowledge and the attitude of providers and 0.81 and 0.82 for users were obtained, respectively. Data were coded and analyzed using SPSS Version 13. Frequency, mean and standard deviation were computed for quantitative variables, and frequency distributions were generated for categorical variables. The Pearson test was used to determine the relationship between knowledge and the attitudes score of the providers and users. The $p < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.

Ethical permission for study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (no. 5/4/6715). An informed written consent was obtained from each of the subjects to participate in the study.

Results

About half (53%) of the providers were in the age group of 30 to 39 years, 80% were married, about two third (69%) had a bachelor's degree and the study field of three-quarters (76%) was midwifery. The overall average length of employment and working in family planning unit was 12.3 ± 6.0 and 10.3 ± 5.9 years, respectively (Mean \pm SD). Only 28% had worked as a family planning provider for less than 5 years. 88% had participated in in-service family planning courses over the past two years. Most partici-

pants (83%) mentioned the booklets and circular letters of the Ministry of Health as a main source of information about ECPs. The average number of their pregnancies was 1.7 ± 0.9 and 6.2% had no pregnancy at all. One-tenth (9.7%) mentioned a history of unplanned pregnancies over the last 5 years and 44% had a history of ever using emergency contraception.

The average age of the users was 29.9 ± 5.8 years. About two-thirds (64%) of the users had a secondary or high school education. Average number of their pregnancies was 1.8 ± 0.9 .

Eight of the users of the pills and four of condoms were not familiar with the ECPs which they were given a zero score for their knowledge but in terms of attitude, they were excluded from analysis.

Mean knowledge score of the providers and users (score range 0-100) was 69.4 ± 11.8 and 52.3 ± 13.0 , respectively. The providers' knowledge was poor in the 3.6%, moderate in 61.4% and good in 35%. The users' knowledge of ECPs in 44.3% was poor, 54.3% was moderate and 1.4% was good. In 7 out of 22 questions, more than half of the providers' knowledge was poor as shown in Table 1. The highest percentages in lack of providers' knowledge were in the fields of possibility of pregnancy following an unprotected sex in the absence of using any contraceptive method (100%), in the case of using the combined ECPs (91%) and in the case of using the levonorgestrel ECPs (66%); lack of contraceptive effect of emergency pills the days after taking them (69%); the need of taking ECPs in late injection of combined injectables (69%), late taking of progestin only pills (67%), and missed 3 or more combined pills (56%), in the case of intercourse.

Mean of attitude score of the providers and users was 70.1 (SD 12.8) and 68.7 (SD 10.3), respectively. Overall, 95.7% and 96.1% of the providers and users had positive attitude, respectively. As shown in Table 2, in 6 out of the 9 studied areas more than three-quarters of the providers had a positive attitude towards this method. However, about half of the providers completely agreed or agreed

with the statement that "if adolescents and unmarried young people be aware of ECPS, the possibility of unprotected sex will be increased among them" (55%) and "using ECPS,

for reasons such as reducing the consistent and correct use of condoms, increase the rate of sexually transmitted diseases, such as HIV" (48%).

Table 1. Frequency of the providers' correct answers to knowledge questions about ECPS* (n=140)

Knowledge questions	N (%)
1. Familiar with concept of ECPS	139 (99.3)
2. No need to perform a pregnancy test before prescribing ECPS	131 (93.9)
3. Possible period to start using the ECPS after unprotected intercourse	135 (96.4)
4. Number of the high dose (HD) combined pills as ECPS	135(96.4)
5. Number of the low dose (LD) combined pills as ECPS	134 (95.7)
6. Number of the pills with levonorgestrel as ECPS	137 (97.9)
7. Time interval between taking doses of the combined ECPS	137 (97.9)
8. Most common side effect of ECPS	121(86.4)
9. When it is needed to repeat the ECPS in the case of vomiting Situations in which ECPS should be used:	83 (59.3)
10. After unprotected sex	134 (95.7)
11. Condom Breakage	138 (98.6)
12. Missed 3 or more combined pills	62 (44.3)
13. Missed progestin only pills	46 (32.9)
14. Delay in the DMPA injection	74 (52.9)
15. Delay in injection of the combined injectables	44 (31.4)
16. Possibility of starting menstrual bleeding a few days earlier or later after taking ECPS	128 (91.4)
17. Lack of contraceptive effect of ECPS the days after taking it	44 (31.4)
18. Lack of harmful effect of ECPS on the fetus in case of method failure The rate of pregnancy risk following an unprotected sex in the second or third week of menstrual cycle:	121(86.4)
19. In the absence of using any contraceptive methods	0 (0)
20. In the case of using the combined ECPS	12 (8.6)
21. In the case of using the progestin only ECPS	47 (33.6)
22. No effect of prescribing ECPS in the cases of delayed menstruation	137 (97.9)

* ECPS: emergency contraceptive pills

Table 2. Frequency of positive attitude* of the providers towards ECPS (n=140)

Attitude statements	N (%)
1. Using ECPS can cause abortion†	123 (87.9)
2. Using ECPS may reduce the correct and consistent usage of other methods of contraception†	91(65.0)
3. It is better that all women in childbearing age have information about ECPS	139 (99.3)
4. ECPS should be easily available without prescription	107 (76.4)
5. Teaching the proper use of ECPS to women of childbearing age can help reducing deliberate abortion rates in the community	134 (95.8)
6. If adolescents and unmarried young people be aware of ECPS, the possibility of unprotected sex will be increased among them†	63 (45.0)
7. Using ECPS increase the rate of sexually transmitted diseases such as HIV owing reasons such as reducing the use of condoms†	72 (51.7)
8. All sexually active men should be aware of ECPS	107 (76.5)
9. Counseling about emergency contraception methods should be a part of routine education and counseling on contraceptive methods	134 (95.7)

ECPS: emergency contraceptive pills

* Total responses of "completely agree" and "agree" in statements with positive direction and "disagree" and "completely disagree" in statements with the negative direction

† The statements with a negative direction

Table 3. Frequency of the users' knowledge level by the providers' knowledge level

The users' knowledge* level	The providers' knowledge level			Total
	Good	Moderate	Poor	
Good	1 (2.0)	1 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.4)
Moderate	30 (61.2)	45 (52.3)	1 (20.0)	76 (54.3)
Poor	18 (36.8)	40 (46.5)	4 (80.0)	62 (44.3)
Total	49 (35.0)	86 (61.4)	5 (3.6)	140 (100)

The data are given as n (%)

* calculated from mean score of two clients (one pills and one condom user) of each provider

Table 3 shows frequency of the users' knowledge by the providers' knowledge level. Pearson's correlation results showed that there was no significant relationship between the knowledge as well as attitudes score of the providers and users about the methods of emergency contraception ($p > 0.05$).

Discussion

Findings of this study showed that 99% of the providers were familiar with the emergency contraception but only one third of them had a good knowledge of these methods in details. In Rahaman and colleagues research in India, 85% of the providers stated that they have heard about this method but only the knowledge of 30% of them was good with the details of the methods.¹⁵ Jamali and colleagues in Mazandaran,⁸ McDonald and colleagues in Melbourne¹⁶ and Margaret and colleagues in Nigeria¹⁷ also reported similar results.

The results showed that the majority of the providers had a well knowledge about the correct dose and time of taking ECPs. These results are consistent with Delaram and colleagues' study results in Shahrekurd¹⁴ and Bildircin and colleagues in Turkey.¹⁸

According to the WHO, the fetus is not at risk if a pregnant woman uses the emergency methods or if these methods fail in contraception. Therefore, performing a pregnancy test before prescribing these methods is not necessary.¹⁹ 94% of the providers had correct knowledge in this case in the present study while the rate of 67% was reported by the study of Abdulghani and colleagues in Pakistan.²⁰

The most common side effects of taking ECPs are nausea and vomiting which may

lead not to take the second dose of the drug and thus reducing its effectiveness.⁴⁻¹⁹ In this study, the correct knowledge of the most common side effect of ECPs was 86.4% that is comparable with results of Chung-Park and colleagues study in America with 80%.²¹ In the present study, about 40% of providers did not know about the need to repeat the dose in case of vomiting within two hours after taking the ECPs. In Abdulghani and colleagues study in Pakistan, one third of people were not aware of this issue.²⁰

Over 95% of providers were aware of the common use of emergency methods, including condom breakage and unprotected sex, but their information on using emergency methods in the case of the failure or forgetting the usage of other methods of contraception was poor. In the studies of Sevil et al. in Turkey⁹ and Margaret et al. in Nigeria¹⁷ similar results were reported too. These important findings indicate that the real purpose of providing emergency methods is not understood by many health care providers as also shown in other studies.⁹⁻²² So, the service providers should be educated of the proper use of these methods in failure or misuse in all.

This is important to know that ECPs do not prevent of pregnancy following the next unprotected sex.¹⁹ In This study, more than two thirds of the providers were not aware of this issue. According to WHO, a chance of pregnancy following an unprotected sex in the second or third week of the cycle, in the absence of using any contraceptive methods is 8%, in case of using combined ECPs is 2% and in case of using pills with levonorgestrel is 1%.¹⁹ Only a few of the providers were aware of rate of these risks. Delbanco and

colleagues' research in America shows that 78% of the physicians considered the emergency methods very effective.⁵ Goyal and the colleagues' study in America indicates that 67% of pediatricians were aware of the effect of this method.²³ Lack of proper information, especially in cases where and when a contraceptive method should be used can be one of the main reasons for failure to provide the method. Therefore, the comprehensive and reliable information of the providers about the methods and counseling with clients about them can increase the usage of these methods by women in necessary cases.²⁴

About half of the providers believed that the awareness about the emergency contraception methods will reduce the use of condoms and inevitably, will increase the rates of unprotected sex and the sexually transmitted diseases by seeking access to these methods. These findings are similar to the results of Byamugisha and colleagues research in Uganda.²⁵ However, the results of studies have shown that severe restrictions on access or easy access to EC methods had no association with the decrease of regular use of contraceptive methods or made no increase in sexually transmitted diseases.²⁶

Despite the common negative views about some issues related to emergency methods, majority of providers (96%) had positive attitude in most areas. Most of them agreed that women in reproductive age should be further educated about these issues and the convenient access to this method should be provided.

Emergency contraception methods are complement and the effective support for ongoing methods.⁹ However, it seems that providing and the availability of these methods alone is not the solution for preventing unwanted pregnancies and proper counseling in this area is more important. It seems acquisition of knowledge during pre- and in-service education of the providers in this area is not sufficed. Moreover, the health workers should be encouraged to notify women about EC methods as a part of routine programs and family planning counseling.⁵

One of the limitations in our study was the lack of random sampling in the users of contraceptive methods. Due to the lack of study in the field of barriers in prescribing emergency methods from the perspective of providers in Iran, conducting a research in this area is recommended.

Conclusion

The results of this research showed inadequate knowledge and negative attitudes of health workers and the users about the some aspects of EC method and the lack of significant correlation between knowledge and attitudes of providers and users. Therefore, in order to promote awareness and attitudes of the users, it is necessary that in addition to the use different educational methods to enhance awareness and attitudes of providers, other barriers in service delivery should be identified and tried to eliminate them.

Ethical issues

None to be declared.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this study.

Acknowledgments

This paper is extracted from thesis of the corresponding author. We would like to appreciate the Research Deputy of the Tabriz University of Medical Sciences for the approval and funding of this research project, the officials and staff of the health centers/posts in Tabriz and the participating providers and women in this study.

References

1. World Health Organization. Unsafe abortion: global and regional estimates of the incidence of unsafe abortion and associated mortality in 2003. 5th ed. Geneva: WHO, 2007.
2. World Health Organization, Guttmacher Institute. Facts on induced abortion worldwide, Geneva: WHO; 2007.

3. Bernabe-Ortiz A, White PJ, Carcamo CP, Hughes JP, Gonzales MA, Garcia PJ, et al. Clandestine induced abortion: prevalence, incidence and risk factors among women in a Latin American country. *CMAJ* 2009; 180(3): 298-304.
4. Fritz MA, Speroff L. *Clinical Gynecologic Endocrinology and Infertility*. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010. p. 1040-1.
5. Delbanco SF, Stewart FH, Koenig JD, Parker ML, Hoff T, McIntosh M. Are we making progress with emergency contraception? Recent findings on American adults and health professionals. *J Am Med Womens Assoc* 1998; 53 (5 Suppl 2): 242-6.
6. Jackson R, Schwarz EB, Freedman L, Darney P. Knowledge and willingness to use emergency contraception among low-income post-partum women. *Contraception* 2000; 61(6): 351-7.
7. Chiou VM, Shrier LA, Emans SJ. Emergency post-coital contraception. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol* 1998; 11(2): 61-72.
8. Jamali B, Azimi Orimi H. Knowledge, attitude and practice of practitioners and midwives working at health centers of main cities of Mazandaran province about emergency contraception. *J Mazandaran Univ of Med Sci* 2007; 17(57): 75-81. (Persian).
9. Sevil U, Yanikkerem E, Hatipoglu S. A survey of knowledge, attitudes and practices relating to emergency contraception among health workers in Manisa, Turkey. *Midwifery* 2006; 22(1): 66-77.
10. Ebrahemi M, Mesgarzadeh M, Mogadam Tabrizi F. Use and educational needs about emergency contraception among married woman. *Journal of Gonabad University of Medical Sciences* 2006; 12(1): 19-22. (Persian).
11. Pakseresht S, Mirhagjoo S, Kazem Nejad E, Vazifeh Shenan A. Women's educational needs regarding to family planning methods. *J Gilan Univ Med Sci* 2005; 14(53): 9-12. (Persian).
12. Eslami M, Farrokh Eslamlo H. *Clinical practice Guidelines for contraceptive in the Islamic Republic of Iran*. Tehran: The Ministry of Health and Medical Education. Department of Family Health and Population, Family Planning Office; 2010. p. 95-7. (Persian).
13. Brown B. Many women at high risk of unintended pregnancy are unaware of emergency contraception or how to use it. *Family Planning Perspectives* 2001; 33(1): 42-3.
14. Delaram M, Hasan pour Dehkordi A, Norian K, Kazemian A, Frozandeh N. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding emergency contraception among providers at health centers of shahrekurd in 2007. *Journal of Gorgan Bouyeh Faculty of Nursing & Midwifery* 2008; 5(1): 20-8. (Persian).
15. Rahaman H, Renjhen P, Kumar A, Pattanshetty S, Sagir A, Dubey H. A study on emergency contraception practice among nursing staff in Sikkim, India - a cross sectional study. *A M J* 2010; 3(10): 667-71.
16. McDonald G, Amir L. Women's knowledge and attitudes about emergency contraception: a survey in a Melbourne women's health clinic. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 1999; 39(4): 460-4.
17. Ebuehi OM, Ebuehi OA, Inem V. Health care providers' knowledge of, attitudes toward and provision of emergency contraceptives in Lagos, Nigeria. *Int Fam Plan Perspect* 2006; 32(2): 89-93.
18. Bildircin M, Sahin NH. Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding emergency contraception among family-planning providers in Turkey. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2005; 10(3): 151-6.
19. World Health Organization Department of Reproductive Health and Research (WHO/RHR), Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health /Center for Communication Program (CCP), Info Project. *Family planning: a global handbook for providers*. Baltimore and Geneva: CCP and WHO; 2008. p. 53
20. Abdulghani HM, Karim SI, Irfan F. Emergency contraception: knowledge and attitudes of family physicians of a teaching hospital, Karachi, Pakistan. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2009; 27(3): 339-44.
21. Chung-Park M. Emergency contraception knowledge, attitudes, practices, and barriers among providers at a military treatment facility. *Mil Med* 2008; 173(3): 305-12.
22. Tripathi R, Rathore AM, Sachdeva J. Emergency contraception: knowledge, attitude, and practices among health care providers in North India. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2003; 29(3): 142-6.
23. Goyal M, Zhao H, Mollen C. Exploring emergency contraception knowledge, prescription practices, and barriers to prescription for adolescents in the emergency department. *Pediatrics* 2009; 123(3): 765-70.
24. Lindberg CE. Emergency contraception: the nurses' role in providing postcoital options. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 1997; 26(2): 145-52.
25. Byamugisha JK, Mirembe FM, Faxedid E, Gemzell-Danielsson K. Knowledge, attitudes and prescribing pattern of emergency contraceptives by health care workers in Kampala, Uganda. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2007; 86(9): 1111-6.
26. Stammers T. Emergency contraception from pharmacists misses opportunity. *BMJ* 2001; 322(7296): 1245.