

*J Caring Sci*. 2025;14(2):102-115 doi: 10.34172/jcs.025.33459 https://jcs.tbzmed.ac.ir

## **Original Article**





# **Opportunities and Threats of E-Learning in Nursing Education: An Overview of Reviews**

Mahdieh Arian<sup>1,2</sup>, Mohammad Bagher Oghazian<sup>3</sup>, Seyyed Reza Mazlom<sup>1,2</sup>, Nazila Zarghi<sup>1,2</sup>, Sedigheh Abdollahpour<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical-Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Bojnurd, Iran

#### Article Info

Article History: Received: April 6, 2024 Revised: October 13, 2024 Accepted: June 21, 2025 ePublished: June 21, 2025

#### **Keywords:**

E-Learning, Nursing education, Systematic review, Online education

\*Corresponding Author:

Sedigheh Abdollahpour, Email: abdollahpourts2@yahoo. com

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** E-learning is increasingly replacing traditional methods in medical science education. The main purpose of this study is to identify opportunities, threats and required components of E-learning in nursing education.

**Methods:** We conducted a comprehensive systematic review following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, searching Web of Science, Scopus, ProQuest, Cochrane Library, ScienceDirect, Medline/PubMed, Google Scholar, and SID, Irandoc, and Magiran databases without time or language restrictions. Two researchers assessed each systematic review using A Measurement Tool to assess systematic Reviews (AMSTAR). This overview includes 47 reviews published until the end of 2022.

**Results:** Electronic education in nursing offers opportunities categorized into 10 areas: accessibility and flexibility, efficiency and effectiveness, strengthening clinical competencies, features of electronic education, improving educational processes, improving the quality of education, evaluation and feedback, diversity and practically of content, setting learning environment and promotion of nursing professors' role. However, there are also challenges, grouped into 10 categories: challenges of evaluation and feedback, problems related to the of human resources development, structural and technical limitations, challenges related to communication and interaction, challenges, challenges, challenges of professional competence, challenges of ethics and information security. The essential components for effective electronic teaching in nursing education are organized into 6 categories: technological infrastructure, human infrastructure, pedagogical infrastructure, cultural and social infrastructure, economic infrastructure, and management and leadership infrastructure.

**Conclusion:** E-learning offers an efficient and effective training method for nurses that should be embraced given advances in science and technology. Addressing infrastructure limitations will further enhance its benefits.

#### Introduction

The digital transformation of education and information technology has established smart learning environments worldwide. The online education market is projected to reach \$325 billion by 2025, tripling its value since 2015.<sup>1</sup> Consequently, organizations, universities, researchers, and educators are increasingly focusing on educational technologies.<sup>2</sup> The COVID-19 pandemic forced classroom closures globally, presenting unprecedented challenges, particularly in health science education, which had to shift to remote learning to protect medical and nursing students from potential COVID-19 exposure. This sudden transition affected 1.5 billion students and 63 million

educators, revealing both strengths and weaknesses in educational systems amidst digitalization.<sup>3</sup>

E-learning in medical education is rapidly gaining ground as a viable alternative to traditional methods.<sup>4</sup> A 2018 Cochrane review indicated that e-learning's effectiveness is similar to traditional teaching, while other studies highlight numerous advantages, including on-demand access, control over content quality, and learning analytics.<sup>5</sup> Nursing students must acquire the competencies required for safe, compassionate, and ethical care across various settings.<sup>6</sup> Nursing education is also characterized by continuous professional development, promoting lifelong learning.<sup>7</sup>

© 2025 The Author (s). This work is published by Journal of Caring Sciences as an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

Integrating innovative techniques not only enhances clinical learning within limited time frames but also supports nurses' self-directed training and skill enhancement.<sup>6,8</sup> Given that nurses constitute one of the largest professional groups in healthcare, it is crucial to investigate e-learning extensively within this field.<sup>6</sup> Most pre-COVID-19 studies on nursing e-learning primarily focused on participant satisfaction rather than skill improvement or changes in practice post-intervention.9,10 A pre-pandemic review of the field was not comprehensive in addressing e-learning's opportunities and challenges.<sup>11,12</sup> Therefore, with the shift toward electronic education and its cost-effectiveness, as well as the long-term impacts of crises like COVID-19, there is a pressing need for a new review of existing studies. This research aims to identify opportunities, threats and required components of E-learning in nursing education.

#### **Material and Methods**

This overview aims to identify the opportunities and threats of e-learning in nursing education based on the PRISMA systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. The structured questionnaire (PICOS) encompasses the following elements: Participants (nursing students, clinical nurses, professors, and instructors), Intervention (e-learning), Comparisons (traditional educational methods), Outcomes (opportunities, threats, and influencing factors), and Study Design (review studies with or without meta-analysis). A comprehensive and regular search without time and language restrictions was conducted in Web of Science, Scopus, ProQuest, Cochrane library, Science Direct, Medline/PubMed and Google Scholar and SID, Irandoc and Magiran database. In each of the databases, depending on how to search, articles were retrieved using the following keywords: ("e-learning" OR "digital learning" OR "Web-based learning" OR "online learning" OR "distributed learning" OR "computer assisted instruction" OR "Internet-based learning" OR "virtual mentor" OR "virtual learning") AND ("healthcare education," "medical education," "nursing education") ("computer" OR "web" OR "internet" OR "online" OR "information technology" OR "mobile" OR "phone\*" OR "personal digital assistant\*" OR "handheld\*" OR "tablet\*") AND ("learning" OR "education" OR "instruction" OR "problem solving") AND ("nurse\* student\*" OR "student\* nurse \*"). The period of retrieval and search of articles was unlimited, and considering the inclusion criteria, articles published until the end of 2022 were included in the study. There were no restrictions on language.

Eligibility criteria included studies that examined the opportunities and threats of learning in nursing education, specifically those involving nursing students and faculty, or those involving healthcare professionals that included nurses. Non-review studies and those irrelevant to the objectives were excluded. The PRISMA

flowchart (Figure 1) illustrates the article selection process. Based on the initial search, 790 articles were retrieved, after reviewing the titles and abstracts, 489 articles were removed and 301 articles remained. In the re-examination and based on the inclusion criteria, 83 articles were excluded, and after qualitative assessment, 47 articles were identified that addressed the challenges, opportunities, and essential components of electronic education in nursing. Two researchers independently conducted the searching and screening, resolving any disputes with a third researcher. Finally, data was extracted into a form that included the article's author, year of publication, title, purpose, type of review, results, and quality evaluation score. Each systematic review was assessed by two reviewers using A Measurement Tool to assess systematic Reviews (AMSTAR), which consists of 11 questions scored as Yes (1) or No (0). Scores of 8-11 indicate high quality, 4-7 medium quality, and 0-3 low quality.13

#### Results

In this overview of reviews study which is a comprehensive review of systematic reviews, three categories of results were extracted under the headings of advantages or opportunities, challenges or disadvantages, and required components of e-learning in nursing education. The first category, shown in Table 1, presents the opportunities or advantages of e-learning in nursing education. The second category, shown in Table 2, presents the challenges or disadvantages of e-learning in nursing education. The third category, shown in Table 3, presents the required components of e-learning in nursing education. All articles from 47 systematic reviews published between 2006 and 2022 were reviewed, and the characteristics of the studies reviewed are summarized in Table 4. This table lists characteristics such as first author, year of publication, purpose of the study, database searched, electronic training, and participants. The diversity of keywords of the selected studies is shown in Figure 2. As can be seen, the concepts of electronic education in nursing have gained more strength since 2014. In Figure 3, the names of authors who collaborated on different studies are displayed. The opportunities and benefits of electronic education in nursing education were obtained in 10 categories with the titles: accessibility and flexibility, efficiency and effectiveness, strengthening clinical competencies, features of electronic education, improving educational processes, improving the quality of education, evaluation and feedback, diversity and practically of content, setting learning environment and promotion of nursing professors' role. The highest level of impact was related to the benefits of "accessibility and flexibility" with a score of 68, and the lowest was related to "learning environment" and "promotion of nursing professors' role" with a score of 5. The advantages are presented in Figure 4. Challenges and disadvantages of



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram

electronic education in nursing education were obtained in 10 categories with the titles: challenges of evaluation and feedback, problems related to the of human resources development, structural and technical limitations, challenges related to communication and interaction, challenges related to the content of education, financial challenges, organizational challenges, cultural challenges, challenges of professional competence, challenges of ethics and information security. The highest level of impact on disadvantages was related to "challenges related to communication and interaction" with a score of 59, and the lowest was related to "organizational challenges" and "challenges ethics and information security" with a score of 4. Disadvantages are introduced in Figure 5. The required components of electronic teaching in nursing education were obtained in 6 floors with the titles: technological infrastructure, human infrastructure, pedagogical infrastructure, cultural and social infrastructure, economic infrastructure, management and leadership infrastructure. The highest level of impact in the required components is related to "Management and Leadership Infrastructure" with a score of 53 and the lowest is related to "Economic Infrastructure" with a score of 6. The required components are introduced in Figure 6.

#### Discussion

The present study has been conducted with the three aims of identifying the advantages, disadvantages, and required components of electronic education in nursing. In general, in the two categories of advantages, disadvantages, access and flexibility (68 points) and strengthening of clinical competencies (64 points), communication and interaction (59 points), and structural and technical limitations (42 points) scored the most points. Also, in the required components, management and leadership infrastructure (53 points) and technology infrastructure (38 points) had the highest scores. One of the results obtained from

the benefits of e-learning, in line with Naciri's study, is accessibility, which can be useful in three areas (content, training, and location) and along with flexibility, it gives nurses the possibility to gain knowledge without having to be physically present at the place of training and update their skills.4 Another significant benefit of e-learning in nursing education is the strengthening of clinical competencies, which, in line with Feng and Liu studies, leads to encouraging nurses to continuously improve their clinical skills.<sup>26,33</sup> For example, virtual communication with patients and treatment teams can help students to communicate better and more effectively with their patients and colleagues in times of crisis, and by strengthening communication skills, get to know the real conditions of clinical departments.<sup>57,60</sup> In line with the results of the present study, learning improves students' cognitive skills by providing interactive educational content and various evaluation techniques. The results of other studies also show that this makes students better able to absorb information, record it in their minds and use it in the hospital.9,27 Another important advantage in the current research is to improve educational processes and improve the quality of education in order to increase productivity and reduce the duration of education and skills for learners. In this regard, Najjar's study show that the integration of e-learning has allowed students to have more access to higher education because it frees them from the requirement of physical presence and has a significant positive effect on their academic flexibility.61 The diversity and applicability of the content in electronic education in nursing is one of the other advantages of this educational method. In this regard, Ongor and Uslusoy showed that this educational method taking into account the cultural and linguistic differences, in a more attractive and diverse way, increases the possibility of the desire to learn and accompany the education process.<sup>62</sup>

In contrast to the advantages of electronic education,

 Table 1. The opportunities or advantages of e-learning in nursing education

Parameters	Total percent	Impact rate	N (%)	Items	References
Turumeters	iotai percent	impact fate	7 (2, 7)	Access to a wide range of international knowledge and information	14-20
			31 (12.2)	Easy accessibility	14-18,20-45
Accessibility and flexibility	26.7	68	4 (1.6)		16 31 39 45
			4 (1.0)	Accessible to a larger addience	14,15,17-19,21-26,28,30,31,33-
			26 (10.2)	Flexibility in terms of time and place	35,37,39,43,45-48
Efficiency and effectiveness			27 (10.6)	Cost-effectiveness	15,17-19,21-26,28,30-37,39,42- 45,47,49.50
	12.5	32	5 (2,0)	Efficiency in time and cost	14,27,29,43,50
			53 (20.8)	Development of professional and clinical skills	14,26,51-53
			3 (1 2)	Strengthen critical thinking	14,43,48
			2 (0.8)	Strengthen cognitive skills	14,48
Strengthening	25.1	63	2 (0.8)	Personal and professional growth	14,48
competencies	23.1	05	2 (0.8)	Development of communication skills	8,43
			2 (0.0)	Development of clinical competencies	54
			1 (0.4)	Solf officacy in porforming purcing skills	55
			1 (0.4)		14
Eastures of			T (0.4)		14 17 20 22 28 21 22 26 20 42 46 56
electronic	13.7	35	14 (5.5)	Self-directed learning	15,17,18,20-22,25,30,31,34,35,37-
education			18 (7.1)	Interactive and engaging learning experience	40,45,47,49
			2 (0.8)	Personal and spontaneous interaction between teacher and learner	22,31
			2 (0.8)	Reduce training time	29,43
			1 (0.4)	Providing continuous training	31
Improving			2 (0.8)	Educational support and variety in it	19,57
educational	5.5	14	1 (0.4)	Facilitate individual training programs	38
processes			2 (0.8)	Responding to individual learning needs	20,45
			1 (0.4)	Facilitate the learning process	41
			5 (2.0)	Independence in learning	14,28,31,40,58
Improving			1 (0.4)	Achieving goals in the cognitive field	31
the quality of	1.6	4	1 (0.4)	Enhancing and encouraging learning in nursing education	54
education			2 (0.8)	Bridging the gap between theory and practice	43,51
	6.3		3 (1 2)	Evaluating and closely monitoring the progress and performance of	26,30,59
			2 (0, 0)	students	15 51
Evaluation and			2 (0.8)	Provide quick and immediate leedback to students	19.57
feedback		16	2 (0.8)		27 57
		12	I (0.4)	Self-assessment by the learner	10
			1 (0.4)	Providing detailed statistics and reports about student performance	13
			7 (2.7)	Student satisfaction	29,32,40,55,56,58,59
			1 (0.4)	Access to various multimedia content	18
			1 (0.4)	Improve content and format	46
			1 (0.4)	Attractive content	46
			1 (0.4)	Communicate with content	46
Diversity and			1 (0.4)	Utilization of content learning	46
practicality of			1 (0.4)	Standardized content	35
content			1 (0.4)	Integrating nursing information and information into the curriculum	21
			1 (0.4)	Content focus on practical and communication skills in real situations	26
			1 (0.4)	The possibility of providing practical lessons virtually	37,39,57
			1 (0.4)	Providing up-to-date and new content with fast update capability	18,21
			2 (0.8)	Publish and share content with greater speed and breadth	22,27
Setting learning environment		5	2 (0.8)	Information-based, interactive and constructivist learning environment	18,31
	2.0		1 (0.4)	Providing virtual reality conditions for training in job-related fields	26
			1 (0.4)	Creating a challenging learning environment	52
			1 (0.4)	Reduce noise pollution	58
			1 (0.4)	Personal and professional growth of professors	14
Promotion of nursing professors' role	2.0		2 (0.8)	Promoting communication and cooperation between nursing	23,27
			1 (0 4)	professors Promoting the leadership role of nursing professors	32
			1 (0 4)	Improving the role of the developer and supporting the teacher	22
			1 (0.4)	improving the role of the developer and supporting the teacher	

## Table 2. The challenges or disadvantages of e-learning in nursing education

Parameters	Total percent	Impact rate	N (%)	Items	References
			5 (2.3)	Failure to evaluate and control the quality of courses	20,21,31,38,46
Challenges of			2 (0.9)	Uncertainty of maintenance and preservation of acquired clinical knowledge and skills	38,44
evaluation and	8.2	18	2 (0.9)	Absence of course evaluation tools and online content	38,44
leeuback			9 (4.1)	Limited opportunities for immediate feedback and clarification of doubts	16-18,22,28,34,38,51,58
			2 (0.9)	Lack of personnel training in the development of online training courses	38,44
			2 (0.9)	Insufficient human resources	18,27
Drobloms related			6 (2.7)	Failure to strengthen information technology skills	20,21,27,35,38,44
to human resource	11.8	26	3 (1.4)	Not enough time	28,31,38
development		20	5 (2.3)	lack of motivation	15,20,25,30,38
			2 (0.9)	Lack of familiarity with standards in design and implementation	21,38
			3 (1.4)	Lack of skills to design courses electronically	21,26,38
			3 (1.4)	Teachers' resistance	21,31,38
			3 (1.4)	Structural limitations of the course such as time and implementation method	21,38,46
			8 (3.6)	The relative low level of computer literacy of people	15,18,21,27,31,42,45,50
			4 (1.8)	Limits of access to the global Internet	18,35,38,48
Structural and	191	42	20 (9 1)	Technical problems related to bardware and software	17-20,24-
technical limitation	13.1	12	20 (3.1)	reclinical problems related to hardware and software	28,31,34,35,39,42,43,45,48,51,55,58
			2 (0.9)	Lack of technical support from institutions.	18,50
			2 (0.9)	Lack of knowledge about working with tools	14, 38
			3 (1.4)	Potential for distraction secondary to frequent disconnection and connection	15,20,30
	26.8	59	30 (13.6)	Lack of face-to-face interaction	45,49,51,54,55,58
Challenges related			19 (8.6)	Decreased social interactions and lack of socialization	32,34,39,42,43,45,54
to communication and interaction			6 (2.7)	Limited interaction and communication between students and lecturers	18,28,31,35,48,58
			4 (1.8)	Lack of continuous and correct communication between experts in the three fields of information technology and educational technology and nursing	18,38,44,46
	9.1	20	4 (1.8)	Incompatibility of content with reality and needs and goals	18,38,44,46
			4 (1.8)	Imbalance in information about e-learning in the world	14,38,44
Challenges related			3 (1.4)	The possibility of breaking the necessary educational order	18,38,44
education			3 (1.4)	Absence of drawing legal boundaries and educational regulations	18,38,44
			3 (1.4)	Lack of defined goals for training	18,38,44
			3 (1.4)	Lack of management roadmap and educational law and regulations	18,38,44
<b>F</b> 1	4.1	9	3 (1.4)	The cost of developing an e-learning program	20,38,50
Financial challenges			3 (1.4)	Hardware and software cost	20,38,50
enunenges			3 (1.4)	The cost of global Internet access	20,38,50
Organizational	1.8	4	2 (0.9)	Limits of institutional readiness in human resources and infrastructure	18,38
challenges			2 (0.9)	Absence of an organ as the guardian of e-learning in organizations	18,50
		18	3 (1.4)	Lack of culture in use	18,38,44
			3 (1.4)	No change in traditional attitudes	18,38,44
Cultural challenges	8.2		3 (1.4)	The existence of negative and pessimistic cultural attitudes towards the Internet	18,38,44
Challenges of professional competence			3 (1.4)	Absence of culturally and socially defined content security supervisor	18,38,44
			3 (1.4)	Rejection	18,38,44
			3 (1.4)	Fear of the disappearance of the role of the teacher	18,38,44
			3 (1.4)	Limits of understanding concepts	18,38,44
	7.3		3 (1.4)	Short response time	18,38,44
			2 (0.9)	Uncertainty of achieving goals in the emotional and psychomotor field	31,38
1			5 (2.3)	Limited opportunities to learn and develop practical skills	15,26,30,31,55
			3 (1.4)	Inability to influence professional performance	18,38,44
Challenges of ethics and			3 (1.4)	The field of moral conflicts	18,38,44
information	3.6	8	3 (1.4)	Security of personal information	18,38,44
security			2 (0.9)	Political issues	44,52

106 Journal of Caring Sciences. 2025;14(2)

 Table 3. The required components of e-learning in nursing education

Parameters	Total percent	Impact rate	N (%)	Items	References
Technology infrastructure	22.75	38	23 (13.77)	Upgrading telecommunication systems and internet network	16-18,23-26,28,32,33,36,38,42,44- 48,51,53-55,58
			9 (5.39)	Connecting educational systems to the Internet	18,20,28,38,40,43,53-55
			6 (3.59)	Embedding technical tools and defining process engineering	18,19,27,39,53,55
Human	13.77	23	8 (4.79)	Increasing cooperation between the main players of the virtual education system	21,25,27,35,39,40,45,46
			3 (1.8)	Improving information literacy and working skills with computers and software	27,40,53
Intrastructure			10 (5.99)	Changing the individual mindsets of the agents involved	18,26-28,31,36,38,40,53,54
			2 (1.2)	Reconstruction of roles and relationships	18,53
		20	2 (1.2)	Moving from teacher-centered to student-centered	18,53
Pedagogical	11.98		4 (2.4)	Determining the goals of e-learning projects	18,34,40,53
infrastructure			9 (5.39)	Compilation of innovative educational resources and evaluation	14,20,22,27,31,38,40,45,53
			5 (2.99)	Research to choose the best platform	26,27,40,47,53
	16.17	27	9 (5.39)	Spreading the culture of netocracy	14,17,18,26,33,39,43,44,53
Cultural and social			2 (1.2)	Cultivating a global citizen by preserving indigenous and national values	18,53
infrastructure			2 (1.2)	Trying to distribute learning and education fairly	18,53
			14 (8.38)	The spread of new pedagogical culture - independence in learning	17,18,26,31,34,36,42,44,45,49,53,58
Cultural	3.59	6	2 (1.2)	Planning for generating income from electronic education	18,53
and social			2 (1.2)	Investment in e-learning	18,53
infrastructure			2 (1.2)	Report the costs associated with any type of training in the long term	18,53
		53	7 (4.19)	Formulation of policies, guidelines and executive laws of e-learning	18-20,38,40,47,53
Management and leadership infrastructure			2 (1.2)	International and global approach to education	18,53
			2 (1.2)	Issuing licenses for intellectual property issues	18,53
	31.74		3 (1.8)	Quality assurance standards, authenticity and credibility	40,53,56
			28 (16.77)	Use of a recognized learning management system or platform	15-17,19,23-26,30-36,39,42- 45,47,49,51,53-55,58
			4 (2.4)	Recruitment of academic staff	18,40,47,53
			7 (4.19)	Official support of the organization	19,21,40,43,53,55,58

disadvantages are also mentioned in this study, which are usually caused by the reduction of face-to-face interaction between students and lecturers. This reduction of interaction may lead to a decrease in interactive opportunities, a feeling of lack of support and guidance, a feeling of isolation and a decrease in educational motivation in students. The reduction of interaction in other studies has also been expressed as an obstacle in education, and social interactions in regular face-to-face education such as classes, research groups, and workshops are far more than in electronic education.<sup>63,64</sup> Another challenge obtained from this study was structural limitations and insufficient technical support. In this regard, Macdonald states that these limitations can lead to a lack of flexibility in the time and content of education and ultimately cause students uncertainty in using educational tools. 57 In other words, the contradiction in the results of the advantages or disadvantages of e-learning is derived from the technical infrastructure and equipment that usually manifests itself in low-income countries.

In the following, the various infrastructure components required for the implementation and development of electronic education in nursing are proposed in the present study, which is in line with the results of other studies.<sup>23,24</sup> The technological infrastructure includes the upgrading of telecommunication systems and the Internet network and the installation of technical and engineering tools that can be presented and managed through these modern technical tools, educational content and educational software.65 Human infrastructures that by using these infrastructures, people make the best use of educational technologies and achieve a more motivating and engaging learning experience.<sup>66</sup> Pedagogical infrastructure can help improve the quality and experience of online learning by changing from teacher-centered to student-centered and students are encouraged to an autonomous and motivated learning process.<sup>67</sup> Cultural and social infrastructure leads to the fair distribution of educational opportunities and facilities by promoting positive values and concepts in the educational society.18 Economic infrastructure facilitates and accelerates the development of education by using new technologies and the development of educational platforms and applications and leads to the formulation of policies, the use of an international approach, the issuance

Table 4. Characteristics of the studies included in the analysis						
First author	Electronic training	Searched databases	The purpose of the study	Participants		
Bloomfield et al <sup>14</sup> 2008	Using computer assisted learning	CINAHL, Medline, BNI, PsycInfo and ERIC	Assisted learning for clinical skills education in nursing, the ways in which it has been studied and the general findings.	Nursing students		
Booth et al <sup>46</sup> 2009	Workplace-based e-learning	Emerald, ERIC, IBSS, Index to Theses, LISA, MEDLINE, PsycINFO and Social Science Citation Index.	To address the question: Which Workplace-based e-learning (WBEL) techniques are most effective in enhancing the student learning experience?	Nurses, doctors, librarians and health information students		
Button et al <sup>21</sup> 2014	E-learning & information communication technology (ICT)	CINAHL, MEDLINE, OVID, the ProQuest Central, PubMed, ERIC	To identify research related To E-learning and associated technologies in preregistration nursing programs and to identify issues for both students and educators who are using E-learning.	Nursing students and/or nurse educators		
Carroll et al <sup>22</sup> 2009	On-line learning	British Education Index, CINAHL, Emerald, ERIC, IBSS, LISA, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, and Social Science	To explore UK health-care professionals' experience of on-line learning techniques	Nurses, midwives, and allied professions, general practitioners and hospital doctors		
Cook et al <sup>23</sup> 2010-1	Web-based learning	MEDLINE, EMBASE, ERIC, CINAHL and other databases	To describe the variation in configurations, instructional methods and presentation formats in WBL	Doctors, nurses, training nurses, dentists in training, dentists, pharmacists in training.		
Cook et al <sup>24</sup> 2010-2	Internet-based instruction	MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, and ERIC	To investigate time and learning efficiency in Internet-based learning	Physicians, nurses, pharmacists, dentists, veterinarians, and physical therapists		
Cook et al <sup>25</sup> 2010-3	Internet-based learning	MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, Web of Science, Scopus, ERIC, TimeLit, Dissertation Abstracts, and the University of Toronto Research	Two Investigate how to improve Inquiry-based learning (IBL) is needed.	Physicians, nurses, pharmacists, dentists, veterinarians, and physical therapists		
Cook et al <sup>51</sup> 2008	Internet-based learning	MEDLINE, Scopus, CINAHL, EMBASE, ERIC, TimeLit, Web of Science, Dissertation Abstracts, and the University of Toronto Research	To summarize the effect of Internet-based instruction for health professions learners compared with no intervention and with non-Internet interventions.	Student physicians, nurses, pharmacists, dentists, and other health care professionals		
Du et al <sup>55</sup> 2013	Web-based distance learning	PubMed and Embase	To examine the efficacy of the web-based distance education for nursing students and employed nurses.	Nursing students and employed nurses		
Du et al <sup>8</sup> 2022	Blended learning	PubMed and Embase, Web of Science, CINAHL and the Cochrane library	To determine the effectiveness of blended learning versus traditional face-to-face teaching in nursing education from the three aspects of knowledge, skills and satisfaction	Nursing students		
Feng et al <sup>26</sup> 2013	E-Learning	PubMed, Medline, CINAHL, ERIC, and Cochrane Library	To determine the effectiveness of situated e-learning in prelicensure and postlicensure medical and nursing education.	Nursing students and employed nurses, medical student		
Frehywot et al <sup>27</sup> 2013	E-learning	PubMed	Summarizes the literature on e-learning in low and middle-income countries (LMIC), and presents the spectrum of tools and strategies used.	Health professionals		
Graafland et al <sup>52</sup> 2012	PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, PsycINFO and CINAHL	Games for training	To review current serious games for training medical professionals and to evaluate the validity testing of such games.	Doctors, nurses, physiotherapists, paramedics		
Hansen <sup>28</sup> 2008	3-D Healthcare Learning	YouTube, and social networks, such as Facebook, Twitter	To convey knowledge and ideas that have been established concerning the use of 3-D virtual worlds in medical and health professional education to date whilst describing, summarizing, evaluating, and clarifying the current literature.	Medicine professionals		
Hosseini et al <sup>29</sup> 2016	E-Learning	Science Direct, PubMed, SID	to evaluate the benefits of e-Learning in the Nursing Education.	Nursing students		
Leijon et al <sup>15</sup> 2022	E-Learning	MEDLINE, CINAHL, OVID, and PubMed	Gamification and e-learning for young learners: A systematic literature review, bibliometric analysis, and future research agenda.	Undergraduate nursing students		
Khatib Zanjani et al <sup>53</sup> 2012	E-Learning	ERIC, ACM, Digital Learning, OECDT	The structured analysis of requirements, challenges, problems, and barriers in the application of e-learning in education and thus provide a model and guide for choosing, designing, and implementing an electronic course.	Medical Sciences students		
Kleinpell et al <sup>30</sup> 2011	Web-based	OVID-MEDLINE, PubMed, and CINAHL	To identify, catalog, and critically evaluate Web- based resources for critical care education.	Nurses		
Klimova <sup>16</sup> 2018	E-Learning	Web of Science, ScienceDirect, Scopus, and MEDLINE	two Use of eLearning in Medical Education and Healthcare Practice	Medical students, doctors, nurses, or other healthcare		

to answer the following question: How does e-learning transform the role of nurse educators?

healthcare

Nursing educators

E-Learning

Web of Science, ScienceDirect,

Scopus,

Koch<sup>31</sup>

2014

#### Table 4. Continued. First author Electronic training Searched databases The purpose of the study Participants Kokol et al 43 Web of Science, ScienceDirect, Investigating the E-learning challenges faced by Nursing Education E-Learning students during COVID-19 in Namibia students 2006 Scopus, Kuriplachova Moodle implementation for e-learning: A systematic Nursing and healthcare . et al<sup>48</sup> E-Learning PubMed, Medline review. students 2021 Lahti et al32 MEDLINE CINAHL PsycINFO and To assess the impact of e-learning on nurses' and Nurses and student E-Learning 2014 ERIC nursing student's knowledge, skills and satisfaction. nurses Physicians, nurses, Lam-Electronic Two provides an update on evidence from nursing aides Antoniades MEDLINE, EMBASE, and CINAHL randomized controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the pharmacists, paramedics, continuing et al49 education effectiveness of e-CE in the health professions. and nursing home 2009 managers. Lee<sup>54</sup> E-Learning Using e-learning to enhance nurse education Nurse education 2015 Medline, CINAHL, ScienceDirect, To assess the effectiveness of blended learning for Liu et al<sup>33</sup> Health professional Blended learning Ovid Embase, Web of Science, and health professional learners compared with no 2016 learners ERIC intervention and with nonblended learning PubMed, CINAHL, ERIC, and Health What are nursing faculty members' perceptions of Mancuso et Distance education Nursing faculty members al34 2009 Sciences in ProQuest distance education in nursing? To assess the effectiveness of digital education as CENTRAL) (Cochrane Library), a stand-alone approach or as part of a blended-MEDLINE (Ovid), Embase (Ovid), Web learning approach in improving pre- and postof Science, the Educational Resource registration health care professionals' knowledge, Information Centre (ERIC) (Ovid), attitudes, practical skills, and behavior in the Martinengo et Digital education PsycINFO (Ovid), the Cumulative Health care professionals al35 2019 management of chronic wounds, as well as their Index to Nursing and Allied Health satisfaction with the intervention. Secondary Literature (CINAHL) (EBSCO), the objectives are to evaluate patient-related outcomes, ProQuest Dissertation and Theses cost-effectiveness of the interventions, and any database, and trial registries. unfavorable or undesirable outcomes that may arise. Emergency medical technicians, health care professionals, paramedics trainees nurses, anesthesiologists, To critically evaluate the quality of airway App Store, Google Play, BlackBerry Matava et al<sup>3</sup> emergency physicians Mobile apps management apps and target revised Bloom's 2017 World, and Windows Store critical care physicians, Taxonomy cognitive levels. military medics, medical students, family medicine physicians, lifeguards firefighters, respiratory therapists To determine whether the use of an online or McCutcheon MEDLINE, CINAHL, BREI, ERIC and blended learning paradigm has the potential Blended learning et al37 undergraduate nurse AUEI to enhance the teaching of clinical skills in 2015 undergraduate nursing. To Examine the current evidence on the effectiveness MEDLINE, CINAHL, Cochrane McDonald et of digital technologies or e-based learning for Library and ProQuest Nursing and E-learning Nursing students al12 2018 enhancing the skills and knowledge of nursing Allied Health Source students in nursing assessment. PubMed, Scopus, Education Resource Information Centre To explore the state of evidence concerning cost Meinert et al50 (ERIC), Web of Science, Embase, Health professions E-Learning capture within eLearning in health professions Global Health, Health Management students 2021 education Information Consortium (HMIC), Prospero, and OVID. Mousazadeh Medline and CINAHL databases and Medical and non-E-Learning To evaluate the effectiveness of e learning in learning. et al<sup>58</sup> 2016 Google medical students Medline, Ovid, ProQuest, and Naderifar et Challenge based learning in higher education-A E-Learning Medical students al38 2016 PubMed systematic literature review. PubMed, Scopus, Elsevier, Google Nakhoda et To assess E-Learning Satisfaction (ELS) in medical and Medical and non-Scholar, Web of Science and Iranian E-Learning al<sup>39</sup> 2021 Scientific Information Database non-medical students during COVID-19 pandemic. medical students (SID), IranDoc, Civilica and Magiran CINAHL, Academic Search Premier, Educational Resource Information Centre, Health Source, Educational Patterson et To describe the state of the qualitative and Research Complete, Computers and al40 Distance learning quantitative nursing research on student outcomes of Nursing students Applied Sciences Complete, and 2012 distance learning. ProQuest Dissertation Abstracts. The search engine Google Scholar was also used

Arian	et	al
/ 11/10/11	υı	u

First author	Electronic training	Searched databases	The purpose of the study	Participants
Peng et al <sup>17</sup> 2017	Internet-based learning	Medline, Web of Science, ProQuest, Google scholar, ERIC and Elsevier	To explore the impact of Internet-based learning on students/professionals' knowledge of public health compared with no intervention and with traditional face-to-face (FTF) formats.	Medical students, professionals of public health
Petty <sup>56</sup> 2013	E-Learning	CINAHL (Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature) with full text (EBSCO) and MEDLINE via the NHS Evidence Healthcare database	To identify literature that explores the effectiveness of interactive, TE tools on knowledge acquisition and learner satisfaction within healthcare with a view to evaluating their use for post-basic nurse education.	Healthcare professionals
Rahimi et al <sup>18</sup> 2013	E-Learning	PubMed- Embase, Magiran, Medline- Cochrane -Eric, SID - Iranmedex	E-learning, barriers to implementation, solutions	Medical science students
Regmi & Jones <sup>41</sup> 2021	E-Learning	MEDLINE, EMBASE, Allied & Complementary Medicine, DH- DATA, PsycINFO, CINAHL, Global Health, BREI (British Education Index), AEI (Australian Education Index), Web of Science, CENTRAL, ERIC and Google Scholar	To measure the impact of e-learning as compared to traditional face-to-face learning, both measured and perceived, on health sciences education	Doctors, nurses and allied health professionals)
Richardson et al <sup>59</sup> 2017	Learning in the online environment	EBSCO, PsycINFO, ERIC	To investigate Satisfaction and Learning in the Online Environment	Students from all science
River et al <sup>42</sup> 2016	Blending technology	Medline, CINAHL, ERIC and Embase	To identify how technology has been incorporated into TBL in higher education health disciplines	Nursing and other health science students
Rowe et al <sup>43</sup> 2012	Blended learning	CINAHL and MEDLINE	To determine the impact of blended learning in the clinical education of healthcare students.	Healthcare students
Sadeghi Mahali et al <sup>44</sup> 2022	Virtual education	PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, Google Scholar, SID, IranDoc	Comparison of virtual education challenges in nursing before and after COVID-19	Nursing education students
Vallée et al <sup>19</sup> 2020	Blended learning	MEDLINE	To assess the effectiveness of blended learning compared to that of traditional learning in health education.	Nursing, pharmacy, physiotherapy, dentistry students
Wilkinson et al <sup>45</sup> 2009	E-Learning	BNI, Medline, ERIC, BEI, AEI Web of Knowledge (ISI), PsycINFO	Describe and discuss the psychometric properties of instruments used in healthcare education settings measuring experience and attitudes of healthcare students' regarding their ICT skills and their use of computers and the Internet for education	Students in the healthcare professions
Wolbrink & Burns <sup>20</sup> 2012	Internet-based learning	MEDLINE/PubMed	To Define the key concepts of Internet-based learning, summarize the current literature, and describe how Internet-based learning may be uniquely suited for the critical care provider.	Medical students







Figure 3. The combination of authors of selected studies



Figure 4. The opportunities or advantages of e-learning in nursing education

of intellectual property licenses, the determination of quality standards, the use of the learning management system attracts people.<sup>53</sup> Finally, facilitating the creation of effective and efficient infrastructures resulting from the present study will improve the online learning experience and the quality of e-learning. In this regard, the experience of many countries in the COVID-19 pandemic for the rapid and timely creation of technical infrastructure and equipment has shown the increase in the skill of the medical group providing care.<sup>68</sup>

One of the strengths of this study is the broad and extensive search in the databases, which has led to the comprehensiveness of the results. One of the limitations of this study is the impossibility of categorizing studies according to low-income and high-income countries, as well as examining the results of electronic nursing education in the development of quality care skills.

#### Conclusion

This research found Opportunities and threats of E-learning and factors that influenced choosing it according to advantages and disadvantages. This research provides knowledge about criteria that can be used further in E-learning to benefit from its advantages depending on the infrastructure of different settings. The results of the present study indicate that the benefits of e-learning for



Figure 5. The challenges or disadvantages of e-learning in nursing education



Figure 6. The required components of e-learning in nursing education

training nurses can be an efficient and effective method, so with the growing progress of science and technology, this method cannot be ignored, but it should overcome obstacles and challenges. In this way, by modifying the infrastructure, it is possible to reduce the disadvantages and add to its advantages.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to extend their deepest thanks to all librarians who helped them to access information resources in Mashhad University of Medical Sciences.

#### **Authors' Contribution**

**Conceptualization:** Mahdieh Arian, Seyyed Reza Mazlom, Sedigheh Abdollahpour.

Data curation: Nazila Zarghi, Mohammad Bagher Oghazian, Sedigheh Abdollahpour.

### **Research Highlights**

#### What is the current knowledge?

 E-learning is increasingly replacing traditional methods in medical science education. The future likely lies in blended learning, which combines the strengths of both approaches for a more engaging, effective, and accessible learning experience.

#### What is new here?

- Electronic education in nursing offers opportunities categorized into 10 areas: accessibility and flexibility, efficiency and effectiveness, strengthening clinical competencies, features of electronic education, improving educational processes, improving the quality of education, evaluation and feedback, diversity and practically of content, setting learning environment and promotion of nursing professors' role.
- Electronic education challenges, categorized into 10 groups: challenges of evaluation and feedback, problems related to the of human resources development, structural and technical limitations, challenges related to communication and interaction, challenges related to the content of education, financial challenges, organizational challenges, cultural challenges, challenges of professional competence, challenges of ethics and information security.
- The essential components for effective electronic teaching in nursing education are organized into 6 categories: technological infrastructure, human infrastructure, pedagogical infrastructure, cultural and social infrastructure, economic infrastructure, and management and leadership infrastructure.

Formal analysis: Mahdieh Arian, Sedigheh Abdollahpour.
Funding acquisition: Mahdieh Arian, Nazila Zarghi.
Investigation: Mahdieh Arian, Mohammad Bagher Oghazian.
Methodology: Mahdieh Arian, Sedigheh Abdollahpour.
Project administration: Mahdieh Arian.
Resources: Sedigheh Abdollahpour, Mahdieh Arian.

**Software:** Sedigheh Abdollahpour, Mahdieh Arian.

Supervision: Sedigheh Abdollahpour, Mahdieh Arian.

**Validation:** Mahdieh Arian, Seyyed Reza Mazlom, Sedigheh Abdollahpour.

Visualization: Sedigheh Abdollahpour, Mahdieh Arian. Writing–original draft: Sedigheh Abdollahpour, Mahdieh Arian. Writing–review & editing: Sedigheh Abdollahpour, Mahdieh Arian.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### **Data Availability Statement**

The Data could be available upon a reasonable request to the Abdollahpour with abdollahpourts2@yahoo.com and with the permission of the Mashhad University of Medical Science ethical committee.

#### **Ethical Approval**

This project was approved with the code (IR.MUMS.REC.1401.165) in the ethics committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

#### Funding

This study was funded by Mashhad University of Medical Sciences [grant number4010090].

#### References

- 1. World Bank. World Bank Education COVID-19 School Closures Map. 2020. Available from: https://www.worldbank. org/en/data/interactive/2020/03/24/world-bank-educationand-covid-19. Accessed June 15, 2020.
- 2. Djeki E, Dégila J, Bondiombouy C, Alhassan MH. E-learning bibliometric analysis from 2015 to 2020. J Comput Educ. 2022; 9(4): 727-54. doi: 10.1007/s40692-021-00218-4
- Rahayu NW, Ferdiana R, Kusumawardani SS. A systematic review of ontology use in e-learning recommender system. Comput Educ Artif Intell. 2022; 3: 100047. doi: 10.1016/j. caeai.2022.100047
- Naciri A, Radid M, Kharbach A, Chemsi G. E-learning in health professions education during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. J Educ Eval Health Prof. 2021; 18: 27. doi: 10.3352/jeehp.2021.18.27
- Vaona A, Banzi R, Kwag KH, Rigon G, Cereda D, Pecoraro V, et al. E-learning for health professionals. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018; 1(1): CD011736. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD011736.pub2
- Pourghaznein T, Sabeghi H, Shariatinejad K. Effects of e-learning, lectures, and role playing on nursing students' knowledge acquisition, retention and satisfaction. Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2015;29:162.
- Arian M, Nobahar M, Raiesdana N, Oghazian MB. Identifying the fields of activity, challenges and positive outcomes of nursing prescription: a systematic review. Hayat. 2018; 24(3): 279-95. [Persian].
- 8. Du L, Zhao L, Xu T, Wang Y, Zu W, Huang X, et al. Blended learning vs traditional teaching: the potential of a novel teaching strategy in nursing education a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nurse Educ Pract. 2022; 63: 103354. doi:

10.1016/j.nepr.2022.103354

- Voutilainen A, Saaranen T, Sormunen M. Conventional vs. e-learning in nursing education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nurse Educ Today. 2017; 50: 97-103. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2016.12.020
- Divya KY, Binil V. E-learning challenges in nursing education during COVID-19 pandemic–a narrative review. Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol. 2021; 15(2): 2317-22. doi: 10.37506/ ijfmt.v15i2.14718
- 11. Tabatabaei SH, Mirzaiean A, Keshmiri F. Opportunities and threats of e-learning in dental education in viewpoints of faculty members: a mixed method study. Dent Res J (Isfahan). 2022; 19: 89.
- 12. MacDonald CJ, Backhaus I, Vanezi E, Yeratziotis A, Clendinneng D, Seriola L, et al. European Union Digital Education quality standard framework and companion evaluation toolkit. Open Learn. 2024; 39(1): 85-100. doi: 10.1080/02680513.2021.1936476
- Shea BJ, Grimshaw JM, Wells GA, Boers M, Andersson N, Hamel C, et al. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2007; 7: 10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-7-10
- 14. Bloomfield JG, While AE, Roberts JD. Using computer assisted learning for clinical skills education in nursing: integrative review. J Adv Nurs. 2008; 63(3): 222-35. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2008.04653.x
- Leijon M, Gudmundsson P, Staaf P, Christersson C. Challenge based learning in higher education–a systematic literature review. Innov Educ Teach Int. 2022; 59(5): 609-18. doi: 10.1080/14703297.2021.1892503
- Klimova B. The use of e-learning in medical education and healthcare practice–a review study. In: Auer M, Zutin D, eds. Online Engineering & Internet of Things. Vol 22. Cham: Springer; 2018. p. 933-9. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-64352-6\_87
- Peng Y, Yan W. Effect of Internet-Based Learning in Public Health Training: An Exploratory Meta-Analysis. International Conference e-Learning; 2017.
- Rahimi B, Jebraeily M, Mehdi Oghli R, Rashidi A, Masomi R. The barriers of e-learning implementation in medical sciences domain and provided the necessary solutions. J Urmia Nurs Midwifery Fac. 2013; 11(6): 461-7. [Persian].
- Vallée A, Blacher J, Cariou A, Sorbets E. Blended learning compared to traditional learning in medical education: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2020; 22(8): e16504. doi: 10.2196/16504
- 20. Wolbrink TA, Burns JP. Internet-based learning and applications for critical care medicine. J Intensive Care Med. 2012; 27(5): 322-32. doi: 10.1177/0885066611429539
- Button D, Harrington A, Belan I. E-learning & information communication technology (ICT) in nursing education: a review of the literature. Nurse Educ Today. 2014; 34(10): 1311-23. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2013.05.002
- 22. Carroll C, Booth A, Papaioannou D, Sutton A, Wong R. UK health-care professionals' experience of on-line learning techniques: a systematic review of qualitative data. J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2009; 29(4): 235-41. doi: 10.1002/ chp.20041
- 23. Cook DA, Garside S, Levinson AJ, Dupras DM, Montori VM. What do we mean by web-based learning? a systematic review of the variability of interventions. Med Educ. 2010; 44(8): 765-74. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2923.2010.03723.x
- 24. Cook DA, Levinson AJ, Garside S. Time and learning efficiency in internet-based learning: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract. 2010; 15(5): 755-70. doi: 10.1007/s10459-010-9231-x

- 25. Cook DA, Levinson AJ, Garside S, Dupras DM, Erwin PJ, Montori VM. Instructional design variations in internet-based learning for health professions education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acad Med. 2010; 85(5): 909-22. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181d6c319
- Feng JY, Chang YT, Chang HY, Erdley WS, Lin CH, Chang YJ. Systematic review of effectiveness of situated e-learning on medical and nursing education. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs. 2013; 10(3): 174-83. doi: 10.1111/wvn.12005
- 27. Frehywot S, Vovides Y, Talib Z, Mikhail N, Ross H, Wohltjen H, et al. E-learning in medical education in resource constrained low- and middle-income countries. Hum Resour Health. 2013; 11: 4. doi: 10.1186/1478-4491-11-4
- Hansen MM. Versatile, immersive, creative and dynamic virtual 3-D healthcare learning environments: a review of the literature. J Med Internet Res. 2008; 10(3): e26. doi: 10.2196/ jmir.1051
- Hosseini MA, Ghahremani AR, Mohammadi Shahbolaghi F, Hamadzadeh S, Tamizi Z. The advantages of electronic learning in nursing education: a review study. J Nurs Educ. 2016; 4(4): 9-16. [Persian].
- Kleinpell R, Ely EW, Williams G, Liolios A, Ward N, Tisherman SA. Web-based resources for critical care education. Crit Care Med. 2011; 39(3): 541-53. doi: 10.1097/ CCM.0b013e318206b5b5
- Koch LF. The nursing educator's role in e-learning: a literature review. Nurse Educ Today. 2014; 34(11): 1382-7. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2014.04.002
- 32. Lahti M, Hätönen H, Välimäki M. Impact of e-learning on nurses' and student nurses knowledge, skills, and satisfaction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Nurs Stud. 2014; 51(1): 136-49. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.12.017
- Liu Q, Peng W, Zhang F, Hu R, Li Y, Yan W. The effectiveness of blended learning in health professions: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2016; 18(1): e2. doi: 10.2196/jmir.4807
- Mancuso JM. Perceptions of distance education among nursing faculty members in North America. Nurs Health Sci. 2009; 11(2): 194-205. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2018.2009.00456.x
- 35. Martinengo L, Yeo NJY, Tang ZQ, Markandran KD, Kyaw BM, Tudor Car L. Digital education for the management of chronic wounds in health care professionals: protocol for a systematic review by the digital health education collaboration. JMIR Res Protoc. 2019; 8(3): e12488. doi: 10.2196/12488
- Matava C, Leo AM, Alam F. Mobile apps for teaching intubation: scoping review and critical analysis in e-learning. JMIR Med Educ. 2017; 3(2): e15. doi: 10.2196/mededu.7919
- McCutcheon K, Lohan M, Traynor M, Martin D. A systematic review evaluating the impact of online or blended learning vs. face-to-face learning of clinical skills in undergraduate nurse education. J Adv Nurs. 2015; 71(2): 255-70. doi: 10.1111/ jan.12509
- Naderifar M, Ghaljaie F, Jalalodini A, Rezaie N, Salar A. Challenges of e-learning in medical sciences: a review article. J Med Educ Dev. 2016; 9(23): 102-11. [Persian].
- Nakhoda K, Ahmady S, Gholami Fesharaki M, Gheshlaghi Azar N. COVID-19 pandemic and e-learning satisfaction in medical and non-medical student: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Iran J Public Health. 2021; 50(12): 2509-16. doi: 10.18502/ijph.v50i12.7933
- Patterson BJ, Krouse AM, Roy L. Student outcomes of distance learning in nursing education: an integrative review. Comput Inform Nurs. 2012; 30(9): 475-88. doi: 10.1097/ NXN.0b013e3182573ad4
- Regmi K, Jones L. Effect of e-learning on health sciences education: a protocol for systematic review and metaanalysis. High Educ Pedagog. 2021; 6(1): 22-36. doi:

114 Journal of Caring Sciences. 2025;14(2)

10.1080/23752696.2021.1883459

- 42. River J, Currie J, Crawford T, Betihavas V, Randall S. A systematic review examining the effectiveness of blending technology with team-based learning. Nurse Educ Today. 2016; 45: 185-92. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2016.08.012
- Rowe M, Frantz J, Bozalek V. The role of blended learning in the clinical education of healthcare students: a systematic review. Med Teach. 2012; 34(4): e216-21. doi: 10.3109/0142159x.2012.642831
- 44. Sadeghi Mahali N, Arsalani N, Rad M, Nematifard T, Khaki S, Fallahi-Khoshknab M. Comparison of virtual education challenges in nursing before and after COVID-19; a systematic review. Iran J Syst Rev Med Sci. 2023; 1(3): 81-103.
- 45. Wilkinson A, While AE, Roberts J. Measurement of information and communication technology experience and attitudes to e-learning of students in the healthcare professions: integrative review. J Adv Nurs. 2009; 65(4): 755-72. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2008.04924.x
- 46. Booth A, Carroll C, Papaioannou D, Sutton A, Wong R. Applying findings from a systematic review of workplacebased e-learning: implications for health information professionals. Health Info Libr J. 2009; 26(1): 4-21. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-1842.2008.00834.x
- 47. Kokol P, Blazun H, Micetić-Turk D, Abbott PA. E-learning in nursing education--challenges and opportunities. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2006; 122: 387-90.
- Kuriplachová G, Kováčková G, Magurová D, Kendrová L. Advantages and disadvantages of e-learning in nursing teaching process. J Health Syst Policies. 2019; 1(2): 45-54.
- Lam-Antoniades M, Ratnapalan S, Tait G. Electronic continuing education in the health professions: an update on evidence from RCTs. J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2009; 29(1): 44-51. doi: 10.1002/chp.20005
- 50. Meinert E, Eerens J, Banks C, Maloney S, Rivers G, Ilic D, et al. Exploring the cost of e-learning in health professions education: scoping review. JMIR Med Educ. 2021; 7(1): e13681. doi: 10.2196/13681
- Cook DA, Levinson AJ, Garside S, Dupras DM, Erwin PJ, Montori VM. Internet-based learning in the health professions: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2008; 300(10): 1181-96. doi: 10.1001/ jama.300.10.1181
- Graafland M, Schraagen JM, Schijven MP. Systematic review of serious games for medical education and surgical skills training. Br J Surg. 2012; 99(10): 1322-30. doi: 10.1002/ bjs.8819
- 53. Khatib Zanjani N, Zandi B, Farajollahi M, Sarmadi MR, Ebrahim Zadeh I. The structured analysis of requirements and challenges of e-learning and proposing a practical model for successful implementation of e-courses in medical sciences. Iran J Med Educ. 2012; 11(8): 995-1009. [Persian].
- 54. Lee P. Using e-learning to enhance nurse education. Nurs Times. 2015; 111(43): 18-9.
- 55. Du S, Liu Z, Liu S, Yin H, Xu G, Zhang H, et al. Web-based distance learning for nurse education: a systematic review. Int Nurs Rev. 2013; 60(2): 167-77. doi: 10.1111/inr.12015
- Petty J. Interactive, technology-enhanced self-regulated learning tools in healthcare education: a literature review. Nurse Educ Today. 2013; 33(1): 53-9. doi: 10.1016/j. nedt.2012.06.008
- 57. McDonald EW, Boulton JL, Davis JL. E-learning and nursing assessment skills and knowledge - an integrative review. Nurse Educ Today. 2018; 66: 166-74. doi: 10.1016/j. nedt.2018.03.011
- 58. Mousazadeh S, Dehghani M, Mozaffari F, Ghasemnegad SM, Hakimi H, Bagherian S. The effectiveness of e-learning in learning: a review of the literature. Int J Med Res Health Sci. 2016; 5(2): 86-91.

- 59. Richardson JC, Maeda Y, Lv J, Caskurlu S. Social presence in relation to students' satisfaction and learning in the online environment: a meta-analysis. Comput Human Behav. 2017; 71: 402-17. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.02.001
- Can Ş, Durgun H, Dalcalı BK. Effect of online communication skills training on effective communication and self-efficacy and self-regulated learning skills of nursing students: a randomized controlled study. Nurse Educ Pract. 2022; 63: 103371. doi: 10.1016/j.nepr.2022.103371
- Najjar N, Ajjaka L. E-learning: innovations and challenges in the new millennia. EuroMed Journal of Management. 2023; 5(1): 71-82. doi: 10.1504/emjm.2023.10052606
- 62. Ongor M, Uslusoy EC. The effect of multimedia-based education in e-learning on nursing students' academic success and motivation: a randomised controlled study. Nurse Educ Pract. 2023; 71: 103686. doi: 10.1016/j.nepr.2023.103686
- 63. Junco R, Elavsky CM, Heiberger G. Putting twitter to the test: assessing outcomes for student collaboration, engagement and success. Br J Educ Technol. 2013; 44(2): 273-87. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8535.2012.01284.x

- 64. Means B, Toyama Y, Murphy R, Baki M. The effectiveness of online and blended learning: a meta-analysis of the empirical literature. Teach Coll Rec. 2013; 115(3): 1-47. doi: 10.1177/016146811311500307
- 65. Duderstadt JJ. New roles for the 21st-century university. Issues Sci Technol. 1999; 16(2): 37-44.
- Farhan MK, Talib HA, Mohammed MS. Key factors for defining the conceptual framework for quality assurance in e-learning. J Inf Technol Manag. 2019; 11(3): 16-28. doi: 10.22059/jitm.2019.74292
- Kebritchi M, Lipschuetz A, Santiague L. Issues and challenges for teaching successful online courses in higher education: a literature review. J Educ Technol Syst. 2017; 46(1): 4-29. doi: 10.1177/0047239516661713
- Mirzakhani K, Jahani Shoorab N, Akbari A, Khadivzadeh T. High-risk pregnant women's experiences of the receiving prenatal care in COVID-19 pandemic: a qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2022; 22(1): 363. doi: 10.1186/ s12884-022-04676-1